arXiv:1702.07316v1 [math.AC] 23 Feb 2017

KOSZUL BINOMIAL EDGE IDEALS OF PAIRS OF GRAPHS

HEROLISTRA BASKOROPUTRO, VIVIANA ENE, CRISTIAN ION

Abstract. We study the Koszul property of a standard graded K-algebra R defined by the binomial edge ideal of a pair of graphs (G_1, G_2). We show that the following statements are equivalent: (i) R is Koszul; (ii) the defining ideal J_{G_1,G_2} of R has a quadratic Gröbner basis; (iii) the graded maximal ideal of R has linear quotients with respect to a suitable order of its generators.

1. Introduction

A standard graded K–algebra is Koszul if its residual field K has a linear resolution over R. Koszul algebras occur frequently in combinatorial and geometric contexts. They were first introduced and studied by Priddy [19]. For a nice survey on fundamental results and open questions regarding Koszul algebras, we refer the reader to [1].

Let $R = S/I$ where $S = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ is the polynomial ring over a field K and $I \subset S$ is a homogeneous ideal. It is well-known that if I has a quadratic Gröbner basis with respect to a coordinate system of S_1 and some monomial order on S, that is, R is G–quadratic, then R is Koszul. On the other hand, if R is Koszul, then I is generated by quadrics. If I is generated by quadratic monomials, then $R = S/I$ is Koszul. For the proofs of all these statements, one may consult, for example, [7, Section 6.1]. Therefore, we have the following implications:

I has a quadratic Gröbner basis $\Longrightarrow$ S/I is Koszul $\Longrightarrow$ I is generated by quadrics.

There are examples which show that none of the above implications can be reversed; see [1], [7, Section 6.1], and the references therein.

Nice Koszul algebras arising from combinatorics are the ones defined by binomial edge ideals.

Let G be a simple graph on the vertex set $[n]$ with the edge set $E(G)$ and $S_G = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n]$ the polynomial ring in $2n$ variables over the field K. For $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, we set $f_{ij} = x_i y_j - x_j y_i$. The binomial edge ideal of G is

$$J_G = (f_{ij} : \{i, j\} \in E(G)) \subset S_G.$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05E40, 13P10, 13D02.

Key words and phrases. Koszul algebra, Gröbner basis, binomial edge ideal, linear quotients.

Part of this paper was done while the first author visited Constanta. He would like to thank the Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science of the Ovidius University of Constanta for hospitality. The visit of the author to Constanta was supported by ASSMS and mainly funded by HEC Pakistan.

Since J_G is generated by quadrics, it is natural to classify the graphs G with the property that the algebra defined by J_G is Koszul. A graph G is called Koszul (over K) if the K–algebra $R_G = S_G/J_G$ is so. Koszul graphs have been studied in [9]. In that paper, it was shown that the following implications hold:

$$G \text{ is closed, equivalently, } J_G \text{ has a quadratic Gröbner basis } \Longrightarrow$$
$$\Longrightarrow G \text{ is Koszul} \Longrightarrow G \text{ is chordal and claw-free.}$$

We recall the combinatorial definition of closed graphs in Section 2. In [9], one finds examples which show that none of the above implications can be reversed. Further more, a classification of the Koszul graphs with clique complex of dimension at most two is given in pure combinatorial terms.

Koszul filtrations were introduced in [2]. They are defined as follows. Let R be a standard graded K–algebra with graded maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$. A Koszul filtration of R is a family $\mathcal{F}$ of ideals of R generated by linear forms with the following properties:

(i) $\mathcal{F}$ contains the zero ideal and the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$;
(ii) for every non-zero ideal $I \in \mathcal{F}$ there exists $J \in F$ such that $J \subset I$ and I/J is a cyclic module whose annihilator, namely $J : I$, belongs to $\mathcal{F}$.

When the set of all ideals generated by subsets of variables form a Koszul filtration of R, then R is called c-universally Koszul.

In [2, Proposition 1.2] it was shown that all the ideals of $\mathcal{F}$ have a linear resolution. In particular, it follows that R is Koszul if it admits a Koszul filtration. However, there are examples of Koszul algebras which do not possess a Koszul filtration; see [2].

In [10] it was proved that if the defining ideal I of the standard graded K–algebra $R = S/I$ has a quadratic Gröbner basis with respect to the revlexicographic order induced by the natural order of the variables, then, for every i, the ideal quotient $(I, x_n, x_{n-1}, \ldots, x_{i+1}) : x_i$ is generated, modulo I, by linear forms. One may easily find examples which show that even if I has a quadratic Gröbner basis with respect to the revlexicographic order, then the ideals $(\bar{x}_n, \ldots, \bar{x}_{i+1}) : \bar{x}_i$ are not generated by variables. (Here $^-$ denotes the residue class modulo I.) However, in case of algebras defined by binomial edge ideals, by [10, Theorem 2.1], all the ideals $(\bar{x}_n, \ldots, \bar{x}_{i+1}) : \bar{x}_i$ in $R_G = S_G/J_G$ have linear quotients if and only if G is a closed graph.

The goal of this paper is to study Koszul algebras defined by binomial edge ideals of pairs of graphs.

Let $m, n \geq 3$ be some integers and G_1, G_2 simple graphs on the vertex sets $[m]$ and $[n]$, with edge sets $E(G_1), E(G_2)$, respectively. Let $S = K[X]$ be the polynomial ring in the variables x_{ij} where $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$. For $1 \leq i < j \leq m$ and $1 \leq k < \ell \leq n$ such that $e = \{i, j\} \in E(G_1)$ and $f = \{k, \ell\} \in E(G_2)$, p_{ef} denotes the 2–minor of the matrix $X = (x_{ij})_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}}$ determined by the rows i, j and the columns k, ℓ of X. Thus,

$$p_{ef} = [ij|k\ell] = x_{ik}x_{j\ell} - x_{i\ell}x_{jk}.$$

The binomial edge ideal of the pair (G_1, G_2) is defined as

$$J_{G_1,G_2} = (p_{ef} : e \in E(G_1), f \in E(G_2)).$$

This ideal was introduced in [11]. In [11, Theorem 1.3], it was shown that J_{G_1,G_2} has a quadratic Gröbner basis with respect to the lexicographic order induced by $x_{11} > x_{12} > \cdots > x_{1n} > x_{21} > \cdots > x_{2n} > \cdots > x_{m1} > \cdots > x_{mn}$ if and only if one of the graphs is closed and the other one is complete.

A pair of graphs (G_1, G_2) is called *Koszul* if the algebra $R = S/J_{G_1,G_2}$ is Koszul. The above mentioned statement implies that if G_1 is closed and G_2 is complete or vice-versa, the pair (G_1, G_2) is Koszul. The surprising fact is that the converse is also true. Actually, we prove even more. Namely, in Theorem 3.1 we show that the following statements are equivalent:

(i) The pair of graphs (G_1, G_2) is Koszul;
(ii) G_1 is closed and G_2 is complete or vice-versa;
(iii) The graded maximal ideal of R has linear quotients with respect to a suitable order of its generators.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we provide a combinatorial characterization of closed graphs in Theorem 2.2 which is needed to prove Theorem 3.1. The statement of Theorem 2.2 appeared in [3, Theorem 3.6] in an equivalent form. We give here a different proof to that given in [3] which might be of interest for algebraists since it does not involve many concepts and results of combinatorics. Our proof essentially uses only Dirac's theorem on chordal graphs [6].

Section 3 contains the main theorem of this paper, namely Theorem 3.1, which provides various characterizations of the Koszul pairs of graphs. Finally, we show that $R = S/J_{G_1,G_2}$ is c-universally Koszul if and only if G_1 and G_2 are complete graphs.

2. A combinatorial characterization of closed graphs

Closed graphs were considered in [15]. We recall here the definition.

Let G be a simple graph with n vertices and with edge set $E(G)$. The graph G is called *closed* if there exists a labeling of its vertices with labels from 1 to n such that the following condition is fulfilled: for any $i < j < k$ or $i > j > k$, if $\{i, j\}, \{i, k\}$ are edges of G, then $\{j, k\}$ is an edge as well. In fact, as it was shown in [15, Theorem 1.1], a given labeling of G satisfies the above condition if and only if J_G has a quadratic Gröbner basis with respect to the lexicographic order on S_G induced by the natural order of the variables, that is, $x_1 > x_2 > \cdots > x_n > y_1 > \cdots > y_n$.

In [4, Theorem 3.4], it was proved that a graph G is closed if and only if the associated binomial ideal $J_G \subset S_G = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n]$ has a quadratic Gröbner basis with respect to some monomial order in the given coordinates of $(S_G)_1$.

Later on, it was discovered that closed graphs are actually proper interval graphs (PI graphs in brief) which are known in combinatorics for a long time. For the original definition of the PI graphs and various characterizations of them we refer the reader to [3, 4, 12, 13, 14, 17, 20]. In this paper, we will use the closed graph terminology.

It is easily seen that any closed graph is chordal, that is, it has no induced cycle of length greater than 3 and claw-free which means that it has no induced graph isomorphic to the graph on the vertex set [4] with edges $\{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}, \{1, 4\}$. In

addition, if G is closed, then any induced subgraph of G must be closed. On the other hand, one may easily show that the graphs of Figure 1 are not closed, but they are chordal and claw-free. These two graphs will play an important role in the combinatorial characterization of closed graphs which we are going to use in the next section.



FIGURE 1. Non-closed graphs

By a theorem of Dirac [6], any chordal graph G has a perfect elimination order which means that its vertices can be labeled with the numbers $1, \ldots, n$ such that for every j, the set $C_j = \{i : i < j \text{ and } \{i, j\} \in E(G)\}$ is a clique of G. We recall that a clique of a graph G means a complete subgraph of G. The set of cliques of a graph G forms a simplicial complex $\Delta(G)$ which is called the clique complex of G. Its facets are the maximal cliques of G. By using Dirac's theorem and an inductive argument, in [8], the following characterization of closed graphs was given.

Theorem 2.1. [8] *Let G be a graph on $[n]$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

(a) *G is closed;*
(b) *there exists a labeling of G such that all facets of $\Delta(G)$ are intervals $[a, b] \subset [n]$.*

Moreover, if the equivalent conditions hold and the facets $F_1, \ldots, F_r$ of $\Delta(G)$ are labeled such that $\min(F_1) < \min(F_2) < \cdots < \min(F_r)$, then $F_1, \ldots, F_r$ is a leaf order of $\Delta(G)$.

We are now ready to give a new characterization of the closed graphs. As we have already mentioned in Introduction, an equivalent statement appears in [3], but with a completely different proof.

Theorem 2.2. *Let G be a connected chordal claw–free graph. Then G is closed if and only if none of the graphs depicted in Figure 1 is an induced subgraph of G.*

Proof. If G is closed, then any induced subgraph of G is closed as well, hence none of the graphs H_1 and H_2 can be an induced subgraph of G since they are not closed.

We prove the converse by induction on the number of vertices of G. If G has two vertices, the statement is trivial. We assume now that G is a connected chordal claw-free graph on the vertex set $[n]$, with $n \geq 3$, and that the converse is true for

graphs with $n-1$ vertices. Since G is chordal, we may choose a perfect elimination order on G. Then the vertex labeled with n is obviously a free vertex, that is, the vertex n belongs to exactly one maximal clique of G.

Let G' be the restriction of G to the vertex set $[n-1]$. Then G' is clearly chordal claw–free and has no induced subgraph isomorphic to H_1 or H_2. We claim that G' is also connected. Indeed, if G' has at least two connected components, as G is connected, it follows that the vertex n must belong to at least two maximal cliques of G, contradiction. Therefore, we may apply the inductive hypothesis to G' and conclude that G' is closed. By Theorem 2.1, it follows that we may relabel the vertices of G' with labels from 1 to $n-1$ such that the facets of $\Delta(G')$ are $F_1 = [a_1, b_1], \ldots, F_r = [a_r, b_r]$ with $1 = a_1 < a_2 < \ldots < a_r < b_r = n-1$.

We have to show that G is closed, that is, that G satisfies the condition (b) of Theorem 2.1.The idea of the proof is very simple, but the details need some work. We have to figure out where the vertex labeled with n may be "located" such that we do not violate the hypothesis on G and next, we show that, for each such location of the vertex n, one may relabel all the vertices of G such that condition (b) of Theorem2.1 holds, hence G is closed.

Let us first assume that G' itself is a clique. If the vertex n of G is adjacent to all the vertices of G', then G is a clique as well, thus it is closed. If not, then we may relabel the vertices of G' such that those which are adjacent to the vertex n of G have the largest labels among $1, \ldots, n-1$. Then, we get $\Delta(G) = \langle [1, n-1], [a, n] \rangle$ for some $1 < a \leq n-1$, thus G is a closed graph with two maximal cliques.

We consider now the case when G' has two maximal cliques, say, $\Delta(G') = \langle F_1 = [1, b], F_2 = [a, n-1] \rangle$ for some $1 < a \leq b < n-1$. Let $i_1, \ldots, i_\ell \in [n-1]$ be the vertices of G' which belong to the maximal clique of G which contains the vertex n. In other words, $i_1, \ldots, i_\ell$ are all the vertices adjacent to n in G. As $i_1, \ldots, i_\ell$ form also a clique, all these vertices must be contained in one of the two cliques of G'. We may assume that $i_1, \ldots, i_\ell \in F_2$. Otherwise, we reduce to this case by relabeling the vertices of G' as follows: $i \mapsto n-i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. If $\{i_1, \ldots, i_\ell\} = F_2$, then $\Delta(G) = \langle [1, b], [a, n] \rangle$, hence G is closed.

Now we assume that $\{i_1, \ldots, i_\ell\} \subsetneq F_2$. If all the vertices $i_1, \ldots, i_\ell$ are free in F_2, then we may relabel all the free vertices of F_2 such that $\{i_1, \ldots, i_\ell\} = \{n-1, n-2, \ldots, n-\ell\}$. It follows that $\Delta(G) = \langle [1, a], [b, n-1], [n-\ell, n] \rangle$, thus G is closed. We have to treat now the case when at least one of the vertices $i_1, \ldots, i_\ell$, let us say i_1, belong to $F_1 \cap F_2$. If there is a free vertex $k \in F_2$ which is not adjacent to n, then we get an induced claw graph in G with the edges $\{1, i_1\}, \{i_1, n\}, \{i_1, k\}$ which is impossible. Therefore, all the free vertices of F_2 are contained in the set $\{i_1, \ldots, i_\ell\}$. In this case we may relabel the vertices in the intersection $F_1 \cap F_2$ such that the set $\{i_1, \ldots, i_\ell\}$ is an interval of the form $[c, n-1]$ where $a < c \leq b$. Consequently, $\Delta(G) = \langle [1, b], [a, n-1], [c, n] \rangle$, thus G is closed. Here we have to mention that any permutation of the labels of the intersection vertices does not modify the intervals in G'.

Finally, we discuss the case when $\Delta(G')$ has at least three facets, that is, the facets of $\Delta(G')$ are $F_1 = [a_1, b_1], \ldots, F_r = [a_r, b_r]$ with $1 = a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_r < b_r = n-1$

and $r \geq 3$. Let, as before, $i_1, \ldots, i_\ell$ be the vertices adjacent to the vertex n. Since $i_1, \ldots, i_\ell$ form a clique in G', there must be a maximal clique of G' which contains the set $\{i_1, \ldots, i_\ell\}$. We distinguish two cases.

Case 1. $\{i_1, \ldots, i_\ell\} \subseteq F_r$.

If we have equality, then clearly G is closed since $\Delta(G) = \langle F_1, \ldots, F_{r-1}, F_r \cup \{n\}\rangle$.

Let now $\{i_1, \ldots, i_\ell\} \subsetneq F_r$. We proceed further as we have already done in the case when G' had two cliques. Indeed, if $i_1, \ldots, i_\ell$ are all free vertices of F_r, then we may relabel the free vertices of F_r such that $\{i_1, \ldots, i_\ell\} = \{n-\ell, n-\ell+1, \ldots, n-1\}$. With respect to this new labeling, we get $\Delta(G = \langle F_1, \ldots, F_r, [n-\ell, n]\rangle)$, thus G is closed. The difference to the preceding case when $\Delta(G')$ had two cliques consists in the fact that F_r may have non-empty intersection with several maximal cliques of G'. We may choose the smallest integer j such that there exists an index in the set $\{i_1, \ldots, i_\ell\}$, say i_1, such that $i_1 \in F_j \cap F_r$. We claim that in this case, the set $F_r \setminus F_j$ must be contained in $\{i_1, \ldots, i_\ell\}$. Indeed, let us assume that there exists $k \in F_r \setminus F_j$ such that k is not adjacent to the vertex n of G. If follows that G has the induced claw with the edges $\{\min F_j, i_1\}, \{i_1, n\}, \{i_1, k\}$, which is impossible. Thus $F_r \setminus F_j \subset \{i_1, \ldots, i_\ell\}$. Then we may relabel (if necessary) the vertices of $F_j \cap F_r$ such that the set $\{i_1, \ldots, i_\ell\}$ is an interval of the form $[c, n-1]$ where $a_r < c \leq b_j$. With respect to this new labeling, the maximal cliques of G are the intervals $F_1, \ldots, F_{r-1}, F_r$, and $F_{r+1} = [c, n]$, hence G is closed.

If $\{i_1, \ldots, i_\ell\} \subseteq F_1$, then we may reduce to the case that we have just discussed by reversing the labels of G', namely: $i \mapsto n - i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Therefore it remains to discuss the following case.

Case 2. $\{i_1, \ldots, i_\ell\} \subseteq F_i$ for some $2 \leq i \leq r-1$.

If we have equality, namely $\{i_1, \ldots, i_\ell\} = F_i$ and $F_{i-1} \cap F_{i+1} = \emptyset$, in other words, F_i has free vertices, then we may relabel the vertices of $F_i \cup \{n\}$ and of $F_{i+1}, \ldots, F_r$ such that $\Delta(G) = \langle F_1 = [a_1, b_1], \ldots, F_{i-1} = [a_{i-1}, b_{i-1}], F'_i = [a_i, b_i + 1], F'_{i+1} = [a_{i+1} + 1, b_{i+1} + 1], \ldots, F'_r = [a_r + 1, b_r + 1 = n]\rangle$. The case when F_i has no free vertex, that is $F_{i-1} \cap F_{i+1} \neq \emptyset$, and $\{i_1, \ldots, i_\ell\} = F_i$ cannot occur. Indeed, let $j \in F_{i-1} \cap F_{i+1}$ and set $p = \min\{t : j \in F_t\}$, $q = \max\{t : j \in F_t\}$. Then G has the induced claw with edges $\{\min F_p, j\}, \{j, n\}, \{j, \max F_q\}$ as induced graph, which is impossible.

Let now $\{i_1, \ldots, i_\ell\} \subsetneq F_i$. We split the rest of the proof into two subcases.

Subcase 2 (a). $F_{i-1} \cap F_{i+1} = \emptyset$. Let us first assume that there exists vertices $p \in F_{i-1} \cap F_i$ and $q \in F_i \cap F_{i+1}$ which are not adjacent to n. Now we look at the possible neighbors of n. If there exists $j \in F_i \setminus (F_{i-1} \cup F_{i+1})$ such that $\{j, n\} \in E(G)$, then we get an induced subgraph of G isomorphic to H_1 by choosing the triangle $\{j, p, q\}$ together with the edges $\{j, n\}, \{\min F_{i-1}, p\}, \{q, \max F_{i+1}.\}$. If there exists $j \in F_{i-1} \cap F_i$ such that $\{j, n\} \in E(G)$, then G has the induced claw with edges $\{\min F_{i-1}, j\}, \{j, n\}, \{j, q\}$. The case $j \in F_i \cap F_{i+1}$ is symmetric.

Therefore, we have shown that if $F_{i-1} \cap F_{i+1} = \emptyset$, then we must have $F_{i-1} \cap F_i \subset \{i_1, \ldots, i_\ell\}$ or $F_{i+1} \cap F_i \subset \{i_1, \ldots, i_\ell\}$. Clearly, by symmetry, we may assume that $F_{i+1} \cap F_i \subset \{i_1, \ldots, i_\ell\}$. If there exists $p \in F_i \cap F_{i-1}$ which is not adjacent to n, we

get the induced claw of G with edges

$$\{p, \min(F_i \cap F_{i+1})\}, \{n, \min(F_i \cap F_{i+1})\}, \{\min(F_i \cap F_{i+1}), \max F_{i+1}\}.$$

Thus, we have shown that $\{i_1, \ldots, i_\ell\}$ must contain $(F_i \cap F_{i-1}) \cup (F_i \cap F_{i+1})$. As $\{i_1, \ldots, i_\ell\} \subsetneq F_i.$, there must exist a free vertex of F_i which is not adjacent to n. Then, we get the induced claw in G with the edges $\{u, \min(F_i \cap F_{i+1})\}, \{n, \min(F_i \cap F_{i+1})\}, \{\min(F_i \cap F_{i+1}), \max F_{i+1}\}$.

Summarizing, we have shown that if $F_{i-1} \cap F_{i+1} = \emptyset$, then G must contain an induced graph isomorphic either to a claw or to H_1, which impossible.

Subcase 2 (b). $F_{i-1} \cap F_{i+1} \neq \emptyset$. We will show that also this subcase cannot occur. If there exists a vertex $j \in F_{i-1} \cap F_{i+1}$ which is adjacent to n, then G has an induced claw with the edges $\{\min F_{i-1}, j\}, \{j, n\}, \{j, \max F_{i+1}\}$, contradiction. Consequently, n cannot be adjacent to any vertex of $F_{i-1} \cap F_{i+1}$.

Let now $j \in (F_i \cap F_{i-1}) \setminus F_{i+1}$ adjacent to n. If there is no vertex adjacent to n among the vertices of $F_i \cap F_{i+1}$, then we get the induced claw of G with the edges

$$\{\min F_{i-1}, j\}, \{j, n\}, \{j, \max F_i\}.$$

This implies that all the vertices in the set $(F_i \cap F_{i+1}) \setminus F_{i-1}$ must be adjacent to n. but, in this case, we reach a contradiction in the following way. Let $t \in (F_i \cap F_{i+1}) \setminus F_{i-1}$. The induced subgraph of G with the triangles

$$\{\min F_{i-1}, j, \max F_{i-1}\}, \{j, \max F_{i-1}, t\}, \{\max F_{i-1}, t, \max F_{i+1}\}, \text{ and } \{n, j, t\}$$

is isomorphic to H_2, contradiction to the hypothesis on G.

We end this subcase and the whole proof by observing that the situation when we choose $j \in (F_i \cap F_{i+1}) \setminus F_{i-1}$ adjacent to n is symmetric to the above one. □

3. Koszul pairs of graphs

In this section we state and prove the main theorem of this paper.

Let $m, n \geq 3$ be integers and G_1, G_2 graphs on the vertex sets $[m], [n]$, respectively. Let X be the $(m \times n)$–matrix with entries $\{x_{pq}\}_{\substack{1 \leq p \leq m \\ 1 \leq q \leq n}}$ and $S = K[X]$ the polynomial ring over K. Let J_{G_1,G_2} be the binomial edge ideal of the pair (G_1, G_2). As we have already mentioned, this ideal is generated by all the minors $p_{ef} = [ij|k\ell]$ of the generic matrix X with $\{i, j\} \in E(G_1)$ and $\{k, \ell\} \in E(G_2)$. The pair (G_1, G_2) is called *Koszul* if the algebra $R = S/J_{G_1,G_2}$ is Koszul.

To begin with, we notice that we may reduce the study of Kozulness of the algebra R to the case when both graphs are connected.

Indeed, let $G_{11}, \ldots, G_{1p}$ be the connected components of G_1 and $G_{21}, \ldots, G_{2q}$ the connected components of G_2. Then,

$$\frac{S}{J_{G_1,G_2}} \cong \bigotimes_{r,s} \frac{S_{rs}}{J_{G_{1r},G_{2s}}},$$

where $S_{rs} = K[\{x_{tu} : t \in V(G_{1r}), u \in V(G_{2s})\}]$ for all $1 \leq r \leq p, 1 \leq s \leq q$.

We know that $S/J_{G_1,G_2}$ is Koszul if and only if each factor $S_{rs}/J_{G_{1r},G_{2s}}$ is Koszul by [10, Proposition 2.1].

Theorem 3.1. *Let G_1, G_2 be two connected graphs on the vertex sets $[m], [n]$ respectively, where $m, n \geq 3$. The following statements are equivalent:*

(i) *The pair of graphs (G_1, G_2) is Koszul;*
(ii) *G_1 is closed and G_2 is complete or vice-versa;*
(iii) *J_{G_1,G_2} has a quadratic Gröbner basis with respect to the lexicographic order,* lex, *induced by $x_{11} > x_{12} > \cdots > x_{1n} > x_{21} > \cdots > x_{2n} > \cdots > x_{m1} > \cdots > x_{mn}$.*
(iv) *J_{G_1,G_2} has a quadratic Gröbner basis with respect to the revlexicographic order,* rev, *induced by $x_{1n} > x_{2n} > \cdots > x_{mn} > x_{1n-1} > \cdots > x_{mn-1} > \cdots > x_{11} > \cdots > x_{m1}$.*
(v) *The graded maximal ideal of R has linear quotients with respect to the following order of its generators:*

$$\overline{x}_{m1}, \overline{x}_{m-11}, \ldots, \overline{x}_{11}, \overline{x}_{m2}, \ldots, \overline{x}_{12}, \ldots, \overline{x}_{mn}, \ldots, \overline{x}_{1n}.$$

Proof. We first observe that (iii) and (iv) are obviously equivalent since, for $1 \leq i < j \leq m$ and $1 \leq k < \ell < n$, we have $\mathrm{in}_{\mathrm{lex}}(x_{ik}x_{j\ell} - x_{i\ell}x_{jk}) = \mathrm{in}_{\mathrm{rev}}(x_{ik}x_{j\ell} - x_{i\ell}x_{jk})$. This is because we do not change only the monomial order, but also the order of the variables.

Furthermore, (ii) and (iii) are equivalent by [11, Theorem 1.3]. Also, (iii)⇒(i) is a known general statement.

In what follows we will prove (i)⇒(ii) and (ii)⇔(v). This will complete the whole proof of the theorem.

Proof of (i)⇒(ii). We begin by proving that at least one of the two graphs must be complete.

We first make the following remark. Let G_1' and G_2' be induced subgraphs of G_1, G_2, respectively, and let Y be the set of variables x_{ij} with $i \in V(G_1')$ and $j \in V(G_2')$. Then, by the proof of [21, Proposition 8], it follows that $T = K[Y]/J_{G_1',G_2'}$ is an algebra retract of $R = S/J_{G_1,G_2}$. Thus, by [18, Proposition 1.4], T must be a Koszul algebra, hence the pair (G_1', G_2') is also Koszul. The same idea was used in [9] to show that an induced subgraph of a Koszul graph is also Koszul.

Let us assume that neither G_1 nor G_2 is complete. Then there exists two induced path subgraphs, $L_1 \subset G_1$ and $L_2 \subset G_2$, each of them consisting of two edges, say $E(L_1) = \{\{i, j\}, \{j, k\}\}$ and $E(L_2) = \{\{p, q\}, \{q, r\}\}$. Let Y be the subset of X containing the variables x_{ab} with $a \in \{i, j, k\}$ and $b \in \{p, q, r\}$. Then, by the above remark, $T = K[Y]/J_{L_1,L_2}$ must be a Koszul algebra. But this is not true since $\beta_{35}^T(K) \neq 0$ as one may check by using Singular [5].

Let us now assume that G_2 is complete. We begin by proving that G_1 is Koszul. This will follow easily by applying again the above remark for the algebra retract $T' = K[Z]/J_{G_1,f}$, where $f = \{1, 2\} \in E(G_2)$ and $Z = \{x_{ij} : 1 \leq i \leq m, j \in \{1, 2\}\}$. Hence T' is Koszul. But $J_{G_1,f}$ is exactly the classical binomial edge ideal associated with G_1, thus G_1 is Koszul.

It remains to prove that G_1 is even closed. By [9, Theorem 2.1], it follows that G_1 is chordal and claw-free. In order to apply Theorem 2.2 and derive the desired

conclusion, it only remains to show that G_1 has no induced subgraph isomorphic to H_1 or H_2.

It is known that the graph H_2 of Figure 1 is not Koszul; see [9, Page 133]. This implies that G_1 has no induced subgraph isomorphic to H_2. Let us suppose that there exists an induced subgraph of G_1, say G_1' isomorphic to H_1. Let G_2' be the complete subgraph of G_2 on the vertex set [3]. We denote by U the set of all variables x_{ij} with $i \in V(G_1')$ and $1 \leq j \leq 3$. Then, $T'' = K[U]/J_{G_1',G_2'}$ is an algebra retract of R by the above remark. Hence T'' should be Koszul. But this is not true by the following lemma, hence G_1 has no induced subgraph isomorphic to H_1. This completes the proof of (i)⇒(ii).

Lemma 3.2. *The pair of graphs (H_1, K_3) is not Koszul.*

Proof. We label the vertices of H_1 as follows. We assign the labels $2, 3, 4$ to the vertices of the triangle. The additional edges are $\{1, 2\}, \{3, 5\}, \{4, 6\}$. Let H_1' be the induced subgraph of H_1 on the vertex set [5]. H_1' is obviously a closed graph since it admits another labeling which is closed. Thus, the pair (H_1', K_3) is Koszul since J_{H_1',K_3} has a quadratic Gröbner basis. Let $T = K[\{x_{ij}\}_{1\leq i\leq 6,\ 1\leq j\leq 3}]/J_{H_1,K_3}$ be the coordinate ring of the pair (H_1, K_3) and $T' = K[\{x_{ij}\}_{1\leq i\leq 5,\ 1\leq j\leq 3}]/J_{H_1',K_3}$ the coordinate ring of the pair (H_1', K_3). Note that $T' \cong T/(\bar{x}_{61}, \bar{x}_{62}, \bar{x}_{63})$ where $\bar{}$ denotes the class modulo J_{H_1,K_3}. As H_1' is an induced graph in H_1, it follows that T' is an algebra retract of T. Therefore, by [18, Proposition 1.4], it follows that T is Koszul if and only if T' has a linear resolution over T. But this is false since, as one may check with Singular, we have $\beta_{56}^T(T') = 1 \neq 0$. □

Proof of (ii)⇔(v). We begin with (ii)⇒(v). In the hypothesis (ii), by Theorem 3.1, it follows that J_{G_1,G_2} has a quadratic Gröbner basis with respect to the revlexicographic order induced by $x_{1n} > x_{2n} > \cdots > x_{mn} > x_{1,n-1} > \cdots > x_{m,n-1} > \cdots > x_{11} > \cdots > x_{m1}$. Then, by applying [8, Theorem 1.3], it follows that the sequence

$$x_{m1}, x_{m-1,1}, \ldots, x_{11}, x_{m2}, \ldots, x_{12}, \ldots, x_{mn}, \ldots, x_{1n}$$

has linear quotients modulo J_{G_1,G_2}.

(v)⇒(ii). We assume that

$$x_{m1}, x_{m-1,1}, \ldots, x_{11}, x_{m2}, \ldots, x_{12}, \ldots, x_{mn}, \ldots, x_{1n}$$

has linear quotients modulo J_{G_1,G_2}.

In the first step, we show that at least one of the two graphs must be complete. Let us assume that none of them is complete. Then there exist L_i an induced path with 3 vertices in G_i for $i = 1, 2$.

Let $i < j < k$ be the vertices of L_1 and $p < q < r$ the vertices of L_2. We claim that $\overline{x}_{iq}^2 \overline{x}_{kr}$ is a minimal generator of the ideal quotient

$$Q = (\overline{x}_{m1}, \ldots, \overline{x}_{11}, \ldots, \overline{x}_{mp}, \ldots, \overline{x}_{kp}, \ldots, \overline{x}_{j+1,p}) : \overline{x}_{jp}.$$

If we prove the above claim, we reach a contradiction to our hypothesis. It follows that at least one of the two graphs must be complete.

We have

$$\overline{x}_{iq}^2\overline{x}_{kr}\overline{x}_{jp} = \overline{x}_{iq}\overline{x}_{ip}\overline{x}_{jq}\overline{x}_{kr} = \tag{1}$$

$$= \overline{x}_{iq}\overline{x}_{ip}\overline{x}_{jr}\overline{x}_{kq} = \tag{2}$$

$$= \overline{x}_{ip}\overline{x}_{kq}\overline{x}_{ir}\overline{x}_{jq} = \tag{3}$$

$$= \overline{x}_{kq}\overline{x}_{ir}\overline{x}_{iq}\overline{x}_{jp} = \tag{4}$$

$$= \overline{x}_{ir}\overline{x}_{iq}\overline{x}_{jq}\overline{x}_{kp}, \tag{5}$$

where,

$$\text{for (1) we used } \overline{x}_{iq}\overline{x}_{jp} = \overline{x}_{ip}\overline{x}_{jq},$$

$$\text{for (2) we used } \overline{x}_{jq}\overline{x}_{kr} = \overline{x}_{jr}\overline{x}_{kq},$$

$$\text{for (3) we used } \overline{x}_{iq}\overline{x}_{jr} = \overline{x}_{ir}\overline{x}_{jq},$$

$$\text{for (4) we used } \overline{x}_{ip}\overline{x}_{jq} = \overline{x}_{iq}\overline{x}_{jp},$$

$$\text{for (5) we used } \overline{x}_{kq}\overline{x}_{jp} = \overline{x}_{jq}\overline{x}_{kp}.$$

Equality (1) yields $\overline{x}_{iq}^2\overline{x}_{kr} \in Q$. We have to show that $\overline{x}_{iq}^2\overline{x}_{kr}$ is a minimal generator of Q. By assumption, Q is generated by linear forms, hence if $\overline{x_{iq}^2 x_{kr}}$ is not a minimal generator, then there must exist the forms $\overline{\ell}, \overline{v}$ such that

$$\overline{x}_{iq}^2\overline{x}_{kr} = \overline{l}\overline{v}, \tag{6}$$

where $\overline{l}$ is a minimal generator of Q and $v \in S$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree 2.

We consider the $\mathbb{Z}^{m+n}$-multigrading on S by defining

$$m - \deg(x_{ij}) = \varepsilon_{i,j+m}, 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n,$$

where $\varepsilon_{i,j+m} = \varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_{j+m}$, and ε_k is the k-th element in the canonical basis of $\mathbb{Z}^{m+n}$.

The ideal J_{G_1,G_2} and, consequently, the algebra $R = S/J_{G_1,G_2}$ are homogeneous with respect to this grading. Then the forms ℓ, v are also $\mathbb{Z}^{m+n}$-homogenous, thus, by equality (6), it follows that

$$m - \deg(\ell) \leq 2\varepsilon_{i,q+m} + \varepsilon_{k,m+r}$$

componentwise. The same holds for v.

Equation (6) implies that

$$x_{iq}^2 x_{kr} - \ell v \in J_{G_1,G_2},$$

thus,

$$x_{iq}^2 x_{kr} - \ell v = \sum_{e \in E(G_1), f \in E(G_2)} h_{ef} p_{ef}, \tag{7}$$

for some polynomial $h_{ef} \in S$.

In equality (7), we substitute x_{uv} by 0 for every pair $(u, v) \notin \{i, j, k\} \times \{p, q, r\}$. Te conditions on the multidegrees of ℓ and v imply that $x_{iq}^2 x_{kr} - v\ell \in J_{L_1,L_2}$. On the other hand, $\overline{\ell}$ is a minimal generator of Q, hence

$$\ell x_{jp} \in (J_{G_1,G_2}, x_{m1}, \ldots, x_{11}, \ldots, x_{mp}, \ldots, x_{kp}, \ldots, x_{j+1,p}).$$

By using again the condition on the multidegree of ℓ, it follows that

$$\ell x_{jp} \in (J_{L_1,L_2}, x_{kp})$$

in the subring $S' = K[X']$, where $X' = \begin{pmatrix} x_{ip} & x_{iq} & x_{ir} \\ x_{jp} & x_{jq} & x_{jr} \\ x_{kp} & x_{kq} & x_{kr} \end{pmatrix}$. This implies that ℓ is a minimal generator of $(J_{L_1,L_2}, x_{kp}) : x_{jp}$ in S'. By using Singular, we may easily see that there is no minimal generator of $(J_{L_1,L_2}, x_{kp}) : x_{jp}$ which satisfies the multidegree inequality of ℓ.

Therefore, we have proved that Q does not have linear quotients. Consequently, at least one of the two graphs must be complete.

We first choose G_2 to be complete. We have to show that G_1 is closed with respect to its given labeling. By hypothesis, we know that for every $2 \leq i \leq m$, the ideal quotient

$$(\overline{x}_{m1}, \ldots, \overline{x}_{11}, \ldots, \overline{x}_{m,n-2}, \ldots, \overline{x}_{1,n-2}, \overline{x}_{m,n-1} \ldots, \overline{x}_{i,n-1}) : \overline{x}_{i-1,n-1}$$

is generated by linear forms in R. This is equivalent to saying that

$$(x_{m1}, \ldots, x_{11}, \ldots, x_{m,n-2}, \ldots, x_{1,n-2}, x_{m,n-1}, \ldots, x_{i,n-1}, J_{G_1,G_2}) : x_{i-1,n-1}$$

is generated by linear forms modulo J_{G_1,G_2}.

We have

$$(x_{m1}, \ldots, x_{11}, \ldots, x_{m,n-2}, \ldots, x_{1,n-2}, x_{m,n-1}, \ldots, x_{i,n-1}, J_{G_1,G_2}) : x_{i-1,n-1} =$$

$$(x_{m1}, \ldots, x_{11}, \ldots, x_{m,n-2}, \ldots, x_{1,n-2}) + (x_{m,n-1}, \ldots, x_{i,n-1}, J_{G_1,\{n-1,n\}}) : x_{i-1,n-1}$$

and the last term in the above sum is generated by linear forms modulo $J_{G_1,\{n-1,n\}}$ if and only if G_1 is closed with respect to its given labeling by [10, Theorem 1.6].

It remains to consider the case when G_1 is complete. We have to show that G_2 is closed with respect to its given labeling. Assume that this is not the case and that there exist $i < j < k$ or $i > j > k$ such that $\{i,j\}, \{i,k\} \in E(G_2)$ and $\{j,k\} \notin E(G_2)$.

It is enough to make the proof for $i < j < k$ such that $\{i,j\}, \{i,k\} \in E(G_2)$ and $\{j,k\} \notin E(G_2)$ since the case $i > j > k$ is symmetric. We only need to exchange the roles of i and k. Let us consider the minor

$$g = [m-2 \;\; m-1|jk] = x_{m-2,j}x_{m-1,k} - x_{m-2,k}x_{m-1,j}.$$

We observe that

$$\overline{x}_{mi}\overline{g} = \overline{x}_{mi}\overline{x}_{m-2,j}\overline{x}_{m-1,k} - \overline{x}_{mi}\overline{x}_{m-2,k}\overline{x}_{m-1,j} =$$

$$\overline{x}_{m-2,i}\overline{x}_{mj}\overline{x}_{m-1,k} - \overline{x}_{m-1,i}\overline{x}_{mj}\overline{x}_{m-2,k} = 0(\mathrm{mod}(J_{G_1,G_2})).$$

In the above relations, we used that the minors $[m-2 \;\; m|ij], [m-2 \;\; m-1|ik]$ belong to J_{G_1,G_2} since $\{i,j\}, \{i,k\} \in E(G_2)$. The above calculation shows that $\overline{g}$ belongs to ideal quotient

$$Q = (\overline{x}_{m1}, \ldots, \overline{x}_{11}, \ldots, \overline{x}_{m,i+1}, \ldots, \overline{x}_{1,i+1}) : \overline{x}_{mi}.$$

We claim that $\overline{g}$ is a minimal generator of Q. This will then give a contradiction to our hypothesis and completes the proof for $i < j < k$. The proof of the claim uses

arguments similar to those of the previous part of this proof. Let us assume that $\overline{g}$ is not a minimal generator of Q. Then there exists two linear forms, ℓ and v such that $\overline{g} = \overline{\ell}\overline{v}$ with $\overline{\ell}$ a minimal generator of Q. By multidegree considerations, we derive that ℓ is a minimal linear generator of $J_{G_1',G_2'} : x_{mi}$ where G_1' is the restriction of G_1 to $\{m-2, m-1, m\}$ and G_2' is the restriction of G_2 to $\{i, j, k\}$. But one may check with Singular that the ideal quotient $J_{G_1',G_2'} : x_{mi}$ has no minimal linear generator. □

Of course it is natural to ask whether any Koszul algebra defined by a binomial edge ideal associated to a pair of graphs has a Koszul filtration. Some computer experiments give some hope that the following conjecture may be true.

Conjecture 3.3. *Let G_1, G_2 be two connected graphs on the vertex sets $[m], [n]$ respectively, where $m, n \geq 3$, and $R = S/J_{G_1,G_2}$ If R is Koszul, then it admits a Koszul filtration.*

We end this section by proving a result inspired by [10, Proposition 2.3]. First, we recall the definition of c-universally Koszul algebras from [10].

Definition 3.4. Let R be a standard graded K–algebra. R is called *c-universally Koszul* if the set consisting of all ideals which are generated by subsets of the variables is a Koszul filtration of R.

Proposition 3.5. *Let G_1, G_2 be two connected graphs on the vertex sets $[m], [n]$ respectively, where $m, n \geq 3$. Then R is c-universally Koszul if and only if G_1 and G_2 are complete graphs.*

Proof. If G_1 and G_2 are complete graphs, then J_{G_1,G_2} is the ideal of all the 2–minors of the matrix $X = (x_{ij})$. This is exactly the defining ideal of the Segre product of the polynomial rings over K in m and, respectively, n indeterminates. By [16, Proposition 2.3], it follows that R is strongly Koszul, therefore c-combinatorially Koszul.

For the converse, let us assume that R is c-combinatorially Koszul. We have to show that G_1, G_2 are complete graphs. Let us assume, for example, that G_2 is not complete. By relabeling its vertices if necessary, we may assume that $\{1,2\}, \{2,3\} \in E(G_2)$ while $\{1,3\} \notin E(G_2)$. With similar techniques to those used in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we find that $\overline{g}$, where $g = [m-1 \;\; m|13]$, is a minimal generator of the ideal quotient $0 : \overline{x}_{m2}$, hence we get a contradiction to our hypothesis.

Indeed, let us assume that $\overline{g}$ is not a minimal generator of $0 : \overline{x}_{m2}$. Thus, there exist two linear forms, ℓ and v, with ℓ a minimal generator of $0 : \overline{x}_{m2}$ such that $\overline{g} = \overline{\ell}\overline{v}$. We have $\overline{\ell}\overline{x}_{m2} = 0$ if and only if $\ell x_{m2} \in J_{G_1,G_2}$. As $m - \deg(\ell) \leq m - \deg(g) = \varepsilon_{m-1} + \varepsilon_m + \varepsilon_{1+m} + \varepsilon_{3+m}$, we may express ℓx_{m2} as a linear combination of the generators of the ideal $J_{G_1',G_2'}$ where G_1' consists of the edge $\{m-1, m\}$ of G_1 and G_2' is the restriction of G_2 to $\{1,2,3\}$, thus G_2' consists of two edges, namely $\{1,2\}, \{2,3\}$. Thus, in the ring $S' = K[\{x_{ij} : m-1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq 3\}]$, ℓx_{m2} belongs to the ideal of S' generated by the minors $h_1 = [m-1 \;\; m|12], h_2 = [m-1 \;\; m|23]$. Therefore, ℓ is a linear generator of $(h_1, h_2) : x_{m2}$. But one easily checks with Singular, or by

direct computation, that $(h_1, h_2) : x_{m2} = (h_1, h_2, g)$, hence $(h_1, h_2) : x_{m2}$ has no linear generator. □

References

[1] A. Conca, E. De Negri, M. E. Rossi, *Koszul algebras and regularity*, in Commutative Algebra: Expository Papers Dedicated to David Eisenbud on the Occasion of His 65th Birthday, I. Peeva Ed, (2013), 285–315.

[2] A. Conca, N. V. Trung, G. Valla, *Koszul property for points in projective space*, Math. Scand. **89** (2001), 201–216

[3] M. Crupi, *Closed orders and closed graphs*, to appear in An. Ştiinţ. Univ. "Ovidius" Constanţa Ser. Mat.

[4] M. Crupi, G. Rinaldo, *Binomial edge ideals with quadratic Gröbner bases*, Electron. J. Combin., **18** (2011), no. 1, # P211.

[5] W. Decker, G.-M. Greuel, G. Pfister, H. Schönemann, Singular 4-1-0 — A computer algebra system for polynomial computations. http://www.singular.uni-kl.de (2016).

[6] G. A. Dirac, *On rigid circuit graphs*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg **38** (1961), 71–76.

[7] V. Ene, J. Herzog, *Gröbner bases in commutative algebra*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics **130**, AMS, (2012).

[8] V. Ene, J. Herzog, T. Hibi, *Cohen-Macaulay binomial edge ideals*, Nagoya Math. J., **204** (2011), 57–68.

[9] V. Ene, J. Herzog, T. Hibi, *Koszul binomial edge ideals*, Bridging Algebra, Geometry, and Topology, Springer Proceedings in Mathematics & Statistics, **96**, D. Ibadula, W. Veys (Eds.) Springer, 2014, 127–138.

[10] V. Ene, J. Herzog, T. Hibi, *Linear flags and Koszul filtrations*, Kyoto J. Math., **55**, no.3 (2015), 517–530.

[11] V. Ene, J. Herzog, T. Hibi, A. Qureshi, *The binomial edge ideal of a pair of graphs*, Nagoya Math. J., **213** (2014), 105–125.

[12] P. C. Fishburn, *Interval graphs and interval order*, Discrete Math. **55** (1985), 135–149.

[13] F. Gardi, *The Roberts characterization of proper and unit interval graphs*, Discrete Math., **307**(22) (2007), 2906–2908.

[14] G. Hajós, *Uber eine Art von Graphen*, Internat. Math. Nachrichten, **11**(1957) page 65.

[15] J. Herzog, T. Hibi, F. Hreinsdóttir, T. Kahle, J. Rauh, *Binomial edge ideals and conditional independence statements*, Adv. Appl. Math. **45** (2010) 317–333.

[16] J. Herzog, T. Hibi, G. Restuccia, *Strongly Koszul algebras*, Math. Scand. **86**(2000), 161–178.

[17] P. J. Looges, S. Olariu, *Optimal greedy algorithms for indifference graphs*, Comput. Math. Appl., **25** (1993), 15–25.

[18] H. Ohsugi, J. Herzog, T. Hibi, *Combinatorial pure subrings*, Osaka J. Math. **37**, (2000) 745–757.

[19] S. B. Priddy, *Koszul resolutions,* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **152** (1970), 39–60.

[20] F. S. Roberts, *Indifference graphs*, In "Proof Techniques in Graph Theory" (F. Harary, ed.), Academic Press, New York (1969), 139–146.

[21] S. Saeedi Madani, D. Kiani, *On the binomial edge ideal of a pair of graphs*, Electron. J. Combin, **20** (2013), no. 1, # P48.

Herolistra Baskoroputro, Abdus Salam School of Mathematical Sciences (GC University Lahore), 68-B New Muslim Town, Lahore 54600, Pakistan

E-mail address: h.baskoroputro@gmail.com

Viviana Ene, Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, Ovidius University, Bd. Mamaia 124, 900527 Constanta, Romania
E-mail address: vivian@univ-ovidius.ro

Cristian Ion, University Dunărea de Jos of Galaţi, Romania
E-mail address: cristian.adrian.ion@gmail.com